



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/075,065	02/13/2002		William Eugene Moser	47440-044001	7475
	7590	05/06/2004		EXAMINER	
Stephen T.				ABEL JALII	, NEVEEN
McDermott, Will & Emery 227 West Monroe Street				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL	60606-5	096	2175	b	
				DATE MAILED: 05/06/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Status 10075.065 MOSER ET AL		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Neveen Abel-Jali 2175	Office Action Summany	10/075,065	MOSER ET AL.					
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edurations of time may be valided based by the production of 3° CFR 1.15(a). In a event, however, may a reply be timely filed state the production of 3° CFR 1.15(a). In a event, however, may a reply be timely filed state that the production of 1° CFR 1.15(a). In a event, however, may a reply be timely filed state that the production of 1° CFR 1.15(a). In a event, however, may a reply be timely filed state that the production of 1° CFR 1.15(a) and	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of lines may be available under the provision of 37 °CR 1.35(c), is no event, however, may a reply be timaly filed Extensions of lines may be available under the provision of 37 °CR 1.35(c), is no event, however, may a reply be timaly filed Extensions of lines may be available under the provision of 37 °CR 1.35(c), in no event, however, may a reply be timaly filed Extensions of lines may be available under the provision of 37 °CR 1.35(c), in no event, however, may a reply be timaly filed Extensions of lines may be available under the provision of 37 °CR 1.35(c), in no event, however, may a reply be timaly filed If the provision of the provision of the provision of 37 °CR 1.76(d). If No period for reply is specified above, he maximum statistory parted will apply and will expire 35 °C, (i) MON 115 from the mailing date of this communication. All provision of the provision of the provision of 37 °CR 1.76(d). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			ļ " · · · -					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edersinos of time may be available under the provision of 37 CPR 1.13(e). In oevent, however, may a reply be timely filed effects 50: (b) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. It NO services of time may be available under the provision of 37 CPR 1.13(e). In oevent, however, may a reply be timely filed effects 50: (c) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will by the set of set of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (St U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office de this communication, when it first the provision states then there making date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any camed plant term edipartment. See 37 CPR 1.74(b). Status 1)								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period where the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 June 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some C None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. DOV POPOVICE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Status							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 June 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Dip Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)** 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)** 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB08)** 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are ejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are ejected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 June 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
Disposition of Claims 4)	·- ··	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 June 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. DOV POPOWICI SUPERNISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Porfasperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to . 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to . 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 17 June 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. DOV POPOVICI SUPERVISIORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) By Notice of Patent Papers No(s)/Mail Date	Disposition of Claims							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.							
6 Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on 17 June 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. DOV POPOVICI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S8/08)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
8	•	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 17 June 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. DOV POPOVICI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 17 June 2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. DOV POPOVICI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 17 June 2002 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. □ . 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. DOV POPOVICI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNULOGY CENTER 2100 Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Application Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. DOV POPOVICI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. DOV POPOVICI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)								
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **DOV POPOVICI** SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 **Attachment(s)** 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) **Dove Droving Patent Application (PTO-152)	·							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. DOV POPOVICI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	·							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. DOV POPOVICI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.					
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. DOV POPOVICI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2100 **Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) **Technology Center 2100 **Tec	a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * Supervisory Patent Examiner * TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 * Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **DOV POPOVICI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 * Attachment(s) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. DOV POPOVICI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. DOV POPOVICI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•		cu iii iiis vaitoriai otage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	• •	' ''	DOV POPOVICI					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		_						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F						

Art Unit: 2175

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings were received on June 12, 2002. These drawings are accepted.

Claim Objections

2. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

The first misnumbered claim No. 13 has been renumbered 12; all other claim numbering remains the same.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 3-5, 8-12, 14, 16-17, and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gibbs (U.S. Patent No. 5,836,529).

Page 2

Art Unit: 2175

As to claim 1, <u>Gibbs</u> discloses a method for inspecting rail equipment and storing information relating to the inspection comprising:

providing rail equipment having a plurality of parts (See column 3, lines 4-30); inspecting the rail equipment to determine the condition of the parts of the rail equipment (See column 16, lines 5-67);

providing a data entry system for recording the condition of the parts of the rail equipment wherein the data entry system comprises a plurality of fields for entering information related to condition of the rail equipment (See column 15, lines 15-58);

inputting the information into the data entry system (See column 2, lines 38-67);
generating reports related to a condition of the rail equipment that is calculated from the information input into the data entry system (See column 18, lines 1-67); and

providing a database interconnected with the data entry system for storing information input into the data entry system or generated by the data entry system (See column 10, lines 26-36, and see column 7, lines 18-47, and see column 8, lines 42-66).

As to claims 3, <u>Gibbs</u> discloses wherein the data entry system stores information relating to a plurality of types of railcars (See column 3, lines 4-30).

As to claim 4, <u>Gibbs</u> discloses wherein the railcars may be selected from the group consisting of box cars, flat cars, hopper cars, general purpose tank cars, open top hopper and gondola cars, plastic pellet cars, pressure differential cars and pressure tank cars (See column 16,

Art Unit: 2175

lines 13-51).

As to claim 5, <u>Gibbs</u> discloses wherein the reports comprise information related to whether the rail equipment must be repaired or whether the rail equipment is useable in it present state (See column 10, lines 62-67, and column 11, lines 34, also see column 16, lines 35-67, and column 17, lines 1-24).

As to claim 8, <u>Gibbs</u> discloses assigning a damage indicator for each part of the rail equipment (See column 2, lines 18-67, wherein "damage indicator" reads on "detection signals", also see column 10, lines 62-67, and column 11, lines 1-5); and

inputting the damage indicator for each part of the rail equipment into the data entry system (See column 19, lines 4-59).

As to claim 9, <u>Gibbs</u> discloses adding information into the data entry system relating to the inspector of the rail equipment (See column 1, lines 60-67, and see column 2, lines 1-17).

As to claim 10, <u>Gibbs</u> discloses wherein the information further comprises the identity of the rail equipment (See column 3, lines 4-30, also see column 10, lines 46-67, and see column 11, lines 1-62).

As to claim 11, <u>Gibbs</u> discloses selecting a record of rail equipment from the database (See column 2, lines 38-67);

Page 4

Art Unit: 2175

editing information on the record of the rail equipment (See column 10, lines 26-36, and see column 7, lines 18-47, and see column 8, lines 42-66); and saving the information to the database (See column 10, lines 26-36).

As to claim 12, <u>Gibbs</u> discloses a data entry system for inputting information related to an inspection of railcar equipment comprising:

means for inputting information relating to the type of rail equipment (See column 3, lines 4-30);

means for inputting information relating to an identification of the rail equipment (See column 3, lines 4-30, also see column 10, lines 46-67, and see column 11, lines 1-62);

means for inputting information relating to a condition of the rail equipment (See column 4, lines 11-18, also see column 22, lines 1-22); and

means for generating reports related to the information entered about the rail equipment (See column 18, lines 1-67, also see column 22, lines 23-67).

As to claim 14, <u>Gibbs</u> discloses a database for storing the information input into the data entry system (See column 6, lines 12-28, also see column 8, lines 16-41).

As to claim 16, <u>Gibbs</u> discloses wherein the rail equipment is a railcar (See column 7, lines 37-67).

Art Unit: 2175

As to claim 17, <u>Gibbs</u> discloses wherein the information relating to the condition of the rail equipment indicates whether the rail equipment is damaged (See column 4, lines 11-18, also see column 22, lines 1-22).

Page 6

As to claim 19, <u>Gibbs</u> discloses wherein the reports indicate whether the rail equipment is useable in its present form or whether the rail equipment needs repairs (See column 19, lines 4-45).

As to claim 20, <u>Gibbs</u> discloses means for saving the information and reports into a database (See column 18, lines 1-67).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2, 6-7, 13, 15, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gibbs (U.S. Patent No. 5,836,529) in view of Jarrett (U.S. Patent No. 6,345,257 B1).

As to claim 2, <u>Gibbs</u> does not teach wherein the reports comprise information relating to an estimated cost of repair of the rail equipment.

<u>Jarrett</u> teaches wherein the reports comprise information relating to an estimated cost of

Art Unit: 2175

repair of the rail equipment (See column 15, lines 27-67).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have modified <u>Gibbs</u> to include wherein the reports comprise information relating to an estimated cost of repair of the rail equipment.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Gibbs</u> by the teaching of <u>Jarrett</u> to include wherein the reports comprise information relating to an estimated cost of repair of the rail equipment because showing the cost associated with repair allows for better business management and ultimately cost reduction for the corporation.

As to claim 6, <u>Gibbs</u> does not teach wherein the reports further comprise information related to whether the rail equipment is repairable by a mobile repair unit or whether the rail equipment must be shopped.

<u>Jarrett</u> teaches wherein the reports further comprise information related to whether the rail equipment is repairable by a mobile repair unit or whether the rail equipment must be shopped (See column 1, lines 46-67, also see abstract).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have modified <u>Gibbs</u> to include wherein the reports further comprise information related to whether the rail equipment is repairable by a mobile repair unit or whether the rail equipment must be shopped.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Gibbs</u> by the teaching of <u>Jarrett</u> to include wherein the reports further

Art Unit: 2175

comprise information related to whether the rail equipment is repairable by a mobile repair unit or whether the rail equipment must be shopped because it allows for quicker and efficient response time to problem reporting thereby cutting operational business costs.

As to claims 7, and 18, <u>Gibbs</u> does not teach printing blank forms relating to the rail equipment from the data entry system.

<u>Jarrett</u> teaches printing blank forms relating to the rail equipment from the data entry (See column 7, lines 63-67, and see column 8, lines 1-13).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have modified <u>Gibbs</u> to include printing blank forms relating to the rail equipment from the data entry.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Gibbs</u> by the teaching of <u>Jarrett</u> to include printing blank forms relating to the rail equipment from the data entry because it allows for accommodation of user preferences and customization and provides for aviability of on the spot trouble data entry means for maintenance/inspection crew.

As to claim 13, <u>Gibbs</u> does not teach wherein the reports comprise information relating to an estimated cost of repair for the railcar equipment based on the information input relating to the condition of the railcar equipment.

Art Unit: 2175

<u>Jarrett</u> teaches wherein the reports comprise information relating to an estimated cost of repair for the railcar equipment based on the information input relating to the condition of the railcar equipment (See column 15, lines 27-67, also see column 5, lines 15-25).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have modified <u>Gibbs</u> to include wherein the reports comprise information relating to an estimated cost of repair for the railcar equipment based on the information input relating to the condition of the railcar equipment.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Gibbs</u> by the teaching of <u>Jarrett</u> to include wherein the reports comprise information relating to an estimated cost of repair for the railcar equipment based on the information input relating to the condition of the railcar equipment because showing the cost associated with repair allows for better business management and ultimately cost reduction for the corporation.

As to claim 15, <u>Gibbs</u> does not teach means for calculating an estimated total repair cost for the rail equipment.

<u>Jarrett</u> teaches means for calculating an estimated total repair cost for the rail equipment (See column 15, lines 27-67).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have modified <u>Gibbs</u> to include means for calculating an estimated total repair cost for the rail equipment.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

Art Unit: 2175

was made to have modified <u>Gibbs</u> by the teaching of <u>Jarrett</u> to include means for calculating an estimated total repair cost for the rail equipment because showing the cost associated with repair allows for better business management and ultimately cost reduction for the corporation.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Staples (U.S. Patent No. 3,944,986) teaches railroad movement control system and work list tasks.

Bryan (U.S. Patent No. 5,867,404) teaches a method for monitoring railway defects.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Neveen Abel-Jalil whose telephone number is 703-305-8114. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM-4: 30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dov Popovici can be reached on 703-305-3830. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2175

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Neveen Abel-Jalil April 20, 2004

> SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 11